arXiv:nlin/0606059v1 [nlin.SI] 26 Jun 2006

FAY-LIKE IDENTITIES OF TODA LATTICE HIERARCHY AND ITS DISPERSIONLESS LIMIT

LEE-PENG TEO

Abstract. In this paper, we derive the Fay-like identities of tau function for the Toda lattice hierarchy from the bilinear identity. We prove that the Fay-like identities are equivalent to the hierarchy. We also show that the dispersionless limit of the Fay-like identities are the dispersionless Hirota equations of the dispersionless Toda hierarchy.

1. Introduction

The Toda lattice hierarchy was introduced in [13] as a generalization of Toda lattice (see e.g. [12]). In the paper [13], Ueno and Takasaki developed the theory along the line of the work of Date, Jimbo, Kashiwara and Miwa [3] on KP hierarchy. In particular, they proved that there exists a tau function for the Toda lattice hierarchy that satisfies a bilinear identity, which implies one can consider KP hierarchy as a special case of Toda lattice hierarchy.

In [9], Takasaki and Takebe considered the dispersionless (quasi-classical) limit of the Toda lattice hierarchy. Since then, the dispersionless Toda (dToda) hierarchy has found to appear in a lot of other areas of mathematics and physics, such as the evolution of conformal mappings (see e.g. [15, 5]), the solution of Dirichlet boundary problem (see e.g. [6]), WDVV equations (see e.g. [1]), two-dimensional string theory (see e.g. [8]) and normal random matrix model (see e.g. [14]). One of the ingredients appears in some of these works is the dispersionless Hirota equations of the tau function of the dToda hierarchy, first written down in [15], as analogues of the dispersionless Hirota equation for dispersionless KP (dKP) hierarchy derived by Takasaki and Takebe in [10] (see also [2]). In the Appendix of this seminal paper [10], Takasaki and Takebe derived the differential Fay identity from the bilinear identity satisfied by the tau function of KP hierarchy. They showed that the differential Fay identity is equivalent to KP hierarchy, and its dispersionless limit is what we call dispersionless Hirota equation of dKP hierarchy nowadays. However, up to date, we haven't found any derivation of the dispersionless Hirota equation for dToda hierarchy directly as dispersionless limits of equations satisfied by the tau function of Toda lattice hierarchy. The goal of the present paper to solve this problem.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37K10, 37K20 .

Key words and phrases. Toda lattice hierarchy, tau function, Fay-like identities, dispersionless limit.

In Section 2, we review some basic facts about the Toda lattice hierarchy. In Section 3, we re-derive the existence of a tau function for Toda lattice hierarchy along the same line of the proof of existence of tau function for KP hierarchy in [3]. This section serves as a warm up for later sections. In Section 4, we derive what we call the Fay-like identities for Toda lattice hierarchy from the bilinear identity satisfied by the tau function. In Section 5, we prove that the Fay-like identities are equivalent to the Toda lattice hierarchy. More specifically, a function satisfies the Fay-like identities if and only if it is a tau function of the Toda lattice hierarchy. Finally, in Section 6, we show that the dispersionless limit of the Fay-like identities give the dispersionless Hirota equations of dToda hierarchy.

2. Toda Lattice Hierarchy

In this section, we quickly review the necessary facts we need about the Toda lattice hierarchy [13]. We closely follow the exposition in [10].

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots)$ be two sets of continuous variables. We denote by s a continuous variable with spacing unit $\hbar$. The Lax formalism of Toda lattice hierarchy is

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_n} &= [B_n, L], & \hbar\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_n} &= [C_n, L], \\ \hbar\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_n} &= [B_n, K], & \hbar\frac{\partial K}{\partial y_n} &= [C_n, K], \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where L, K, B_n, C_n are difference operators. L and K^{-1} have the form

$$\begin{aligned} L =& e^{\hbar\partial_s} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n+1}^{+}(\hbar, s; x, y) e^{-n\hbar\partial_s}, \\ K^{-1} =& u_0^{-}(\hbar, s; x, y) e^{-\hbar\partial_s} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n+1}^{-}(\hbar, s; x, y) e^{n\hbar\partial_s}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where the functions $u_n^{\pm}(\hbar, s; x, y)$ are assumed to be regular in $\hbar$, i.e., $u_n^{\pm}(\hbar, s; x, y) = u_{n,0}^{\pm}(s; x, y) + O(\hbar)$ as $\hbar \to 0$. B_n, C_n are defined by

$$B_n = (L^n)_{\geq 0}, \qquad C_n = (K^{-n})_{<0},$$

where for $A = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} A_n e^{n\hbar\partial_s}$ a difference operator and S a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$, we let $(A)_S = \sum_{n\in S} A_n e^{n\hbar\partial_s}$.

There exists two dressing operators $\hat{W}^{\pm}(\hbar, s; x, y)$

$$\hat{W}^{\pm}(\hbar, s; x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n^{\pm}(\hbar, s; x, y) e^{\mp n\hbar\partial_s}, \qquad w_0^{+}(\hbar, s; x, y) \equiv 1,$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\hat{W}^+ &=\hat{W}^+e^{\hbar\partial_s}, & K\hat{W}^- &= \hat{W}^-e^{\hbar\partial_s},\\
\hbar\frac{\partial\hat{W}^+}{\partial x_n} &=-(L^n)_{<0}\hat{W}^+, & \hbar\frac{\partial\hat{W}^+}{\partial y_n} &= C_n\hat{W}^+,\\
\hbar\frac{\partial\hat{W}^-}{\partial x_n} &=B_n\hat{W}^-, & \hbar\frac{\partial\hat{W}^-}{\partial y_n} &= -(K^{-n})_{\geq 0}\hat{W}^-.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.3}
$$

Let $\xi(t,a)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}t_na^n$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^+(\hbar,s;x,y) &=\hat{W}^+(\hbar,s;x,y)e^{\xi(x,e^{\hbar\partial_s})} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n^+(\hbar,s;x,y)e^{-n\hbar\partial_s}\right)e^{\xi(x,e^{\hbar\partial_s})},\\
W^-(\hbar,s;x,y) &=\hat{W}^-(\hbar,s;x,y)e^{\xi(y,e^{-\hbar\partial_s})} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n^-(\hbar,s;x,y)e^{n\hbar\partial_s}\right)e^{\xi(y,e^{-\hbar\partial_s})}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4}
$$

Then the system (2.3) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
LW^+ &=W^+e^{\hbar\partial_s}, & KW^- &= W^-e^{\hbar\partial_s},\\
\hbar\frac{\partial W^+}{\partial x_n} &=B_nW^+, & \hbar\frac{\partial W^+}{\partial y_n} &= C_nW^+,\\
\hbar\frac{\partial W^-}{\partial x_n} &=B_nW^-, & \hbar\frac{\partial W^-}{\partial y_n} &= C_nW^-.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hbar\frac{\partial W^+}{\partial x_n}\cdot(W^+)^{-1} &= \hbar\frac{\partial W^-}{\partial x_n}\cdot(W^-)^{-1},\\
\hbar\frac{\partial W^+}{\partial y_n}\cdot(W^+)^{-1} &= \hbar\frac{\partial W^-}{\partial y_n}\cdot(W^-)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.5}
$$

This gives the bilinear identity

$$
W^+(\hbar,s;x,y)\cdot(W^+)^{-1}(\hbar,s;x',y') = W^-(\hbar,s;x,y)\cdot(W^-)^{-1}(\hbar,s;x',y')
\tag{2.6}
$$

for all s,x,y,x',y'. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\hat{W}^+)^{-1}(\hbar,s;x,y) &=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n\hbar\partial_s}w_n^{+,*}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y),\\
(\hat{W}^-)^{-1}(\hbar,s;x,y) &=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{n\hbar\partial_s}w_n^{-,*}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y),
\end{aligned}
$$

and introduce the wave functions $w^{\pm}$ and the dual wave functions $w^{\pm,*}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7)\qquad w^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda) &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y)\lambda^{-n}\right)\lambda^{s/\hbar}e^{\xi(x,\lambda)/\hbar}\\
&= \hat{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\lambda^{s/\hbar}e^{\xi(x,\lambda)/\hbar},\\
w^{+,*}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda) &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n^{+,*}(\hbar,s;x,y)\lambda^{-n}\right)\lambda^{-s/\hbar}e^{-\xi(x,\lambda)/\hbar}\\
&= \hat{w}^{+,*}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\lambda^{-s/\hbar}e^{-\xi(x,\lambda)/\hbar},\\
w^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda) &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y)\lambda^{n}\right)\lambda^{s/\hbar}e^{\xi(y,\lambda^{-1})/\hbar}\\
&= \hat{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\lambda^{s/\hbar}e^{\xi(y,\lambda^{-1})/\hbar},\\
w^{-,*}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda) &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n^{-,*}(\hbar,s;x,y)\lambda^{n}\right)\lambda^{-s/\hbar}e^{-\xi(y,\lambda^{-1})/\hbar}\\
&= \hat{w}^{-,*}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\lambda^{-s/\hbar}e^{-\xi(y,\lambda^{-1})/\hbar}.
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of the wave functions and dual wave functions, the bilinear identity (2.6) can be written in the residual form

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8)\qquad &\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda}\left(w^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)w^{+,*}(\hbar,s';x',y';\lambda)\right)\\
=&\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda}\left(w^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda^{-1})w^{-,*}(\hbar,s';x',y';\lambda^{-1})\lambda^{-2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all s,s',x,x',y,y'. Here for a power series $\beta(\lambda)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\beta_n\lambda^n$, $\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda}(\beta(\lambda))=\beta_{-1}$.

On the other hand, we have

Proposition 2.1. *If* W^{+} *and* W^{-} *of the form* (2.4) *satisfy the system of equations* (2.5)*, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\hbar\frac{\partial W^{+}}{\partial x_n} &= B_nW^{+}, &\qquad \hbar\frac{\partial W^{+}}{\partial y_n} &= C_nW^{+},\\
\hbar\frac{\partial W^{-}}{\partial x_n} &= B_nW^{-}, &\qquad \hbar\frac{\partial W^{-}}{\partial y_n} &= C_nW^{-};
\end{aligned}$$

and (L,K) *defined by*

$$L=W^{+}e^{\hbar\partial_s}(W^{+})^{-1},\qquad K=W^{-}e^{\hbar\partial_s}(W^{-})^{-1},$$

is a solution of the Toda lattice hierarchy.

Proof. See the proof of Theorem 1.5 in [13]. □

3. EXISTENCE OF TAU FUNCTION

Using the bilinear identity (2.6), Ueno and Takasaki [10] proved that there exists a tau function $\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{w}^{+}(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =&\frac{\tau(\hbar, s; x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}], y)}{\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)}\\ \hat{w}^{+,*}(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =&\frac{\tau(\hbar, s; x+\hbar[\lambda^{-1}], y)}{\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)}\\ \hat{w}^{-}(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =&\frac{\tau(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y-\hbar[\lambda])}{\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)}\\ \hat{w}^{-,*}(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =&\frac{\tau(\hbar, s-\hbar; x, y+\hbar[\lambda])}{\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Here $[\lambda] = \left(\lambda, \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2, \frac{1}{3}\lambda^3, \ldots\right)$ and $\hat{w}^{\pm}$, $\hat{w}^{\pm,*}$ are defined in (2.7). As $\hbar \to 0$, the function $\log\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)$ behaves as (see [10])

$$\log\tau(\hbar, s; x, y) = \hbar^{-2}\mathcal{F}(s; x, y) + O(\hbar^{-1}) \tag{3.2}$$

for some function $\mathcal{F}(s; x, y)$.

In this section, we recapitulate the proof of [13] along the line of the proof of existence of tau function for KP hierarchy given by [3]. We define the operators

(3.3)
$$G^{+}(\mu) = \exp\left(-\hbar\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^{-n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right), \qquad G^{-}(\nu) = \exp\left(-\hbar\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\nu^{n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)$$

and

$$d^{+} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} dx_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \qquad d^{-} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} dy_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \qquad d = d^{+} + d^{-}.$$

We are going to make use of the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{(1-\lambda\eta_1)(1-\lambda\eta_2)} = \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_1-\eta_2}\frac{1}{1-\lambda\eta_1} - \frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1-\eta_2}\frac{1}{1-\lambda\eta_2},\\ &\operatorname{Res}_{\lambda}\left(\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\alpha_n\lambda^{-n}\right)\frac{1}{(1-\lambda\zeta^{-1})}\right) = \zeta\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n\zeta^{-n}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

First, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_n^+(\hbar;s;x,y) =&\mathrm{Res}_\lambda\left(\lambda^n\left(-\sum_{j=1}^\infty\lambda^{-j-1}\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}+\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)\log\hat{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\right),\\
A_n^-(\hbar;s;x,y) =&-\mathrm{Res}_\lambda\left(\lambda^{-n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty\lambda^{j-1}\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}+\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\right)\log\hat{w}^-(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\right)\\
\omega =&\hbar^{-1}\sum_{n=1}^\infty A_n^+dx_n+\hbar^{-1}\sum_{n=1}^\infty A_n^-dy_n=\omega^++\omega^-,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.5}
$$

and rewrite the bilinear identity (2.8) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Res}_\lambda\left(\hat{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\hat{w}^{+,*}(\hbar,s';x',y';\lambda)\lambda^{(s-s')/\hbar}e^{(\xi(x,\lambda)-\xi(x',\lambda))/\hbar}\right)\\
=&\mathrm{Res}_\lambda\left(\hat{w}^-(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda^{-1})\hat{w}^{-,*}(\hbar,s';x',y';\lambda^{-1})\lambda^{-2+((s'-s)/\hbar)}e^{(\xi(y,\lambda)-\xi(y',\lambda))/\hbar}\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

We consider the following cases:

Case I. $s'=s$, $x'=x-\hbar[\mu_1^{-1}]-\hbar[\mu_2^{-1}]$, $y'=y$.

In this case, the bilinear identity (3.6) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Res}_\lambda\Biggl(&\hat{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\hat{w}^{+,*}(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu_1^{-1}]-\hbar[\mu_2^{-1}],y;\lambda)\\
&\times\frac{1}{(1-\lambda\mu_1^{-1})(1-\lambda\mu_2^{-1})}\Biggr)=0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the formulas in (3.4), this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu_1)G^+(\mu_1)G^+(\mu_2)\hat{w}^{+,*}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu_1)\\
=&\hat{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu_2)G^+(\mu_1)G^+(\mu_2)\hat{w}^{+,*}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu_2).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.7}
$$

Setting $\mu_1=\lambda$ and putting $\mu_2^{-1}=0$, we have

$$
\hat{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)G^+(\lambda)\hat{w}^{+,*}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)=1
\tag{3.8}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
G^+(\lambda)\hat{w}^{+,*}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)=\frac{1}{\hat{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)}.
\tag{3.9}
$$

Using this relation, (3.7) gives

$$
\frac{\hat{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_1)}{G^+(\lambda_2)\hat{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_1)}=\frac{\hat{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_2)}{G^+(\lambda_1)\hat{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_2)}
$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}&\log\hat{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_1)-G^{+}(\lambda_2)\log\hat{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_1)\\=&\log\hat{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_2)-G^{+}(\lambda_1)\log\hat{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_2).\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Case II. $s'=s+\hbar$, $x'=x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}]$, $y'=y-\hbar[\nu]$.

In this case, the bilinear identity (3.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda}\left(\hat{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\hat{w}^{+,*}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y-\hbar[\nu];\lambda)\lambda^{-1}\frac{1}{1-\lambda\mu^{-1}}\right)\\=&\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda}\left(\hat{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda^{-1})\hat{w}^{-,*}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y-\hbar[\nu];\lambda^{-1})\lambda^{-1}\frac{1}{1-\lambda\nu}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using the second formula in (3.4), this gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)G^{+}(\mu)G^{-}(\nu)\hat{w}^{+,*}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\mu)\\=&\hat{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\nu)G^{+}(\mu)G^{-}(\nu)\hat{w}^{-,*}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\nu).\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Setting $\mu^{-1}=0$ and $\nu=\lambda$, we obtain

$$\hat{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)G^{-}(\lambda)\hat{w}^{-,*}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\lambda)=1.\tag{3.12}$$

Equivalently,

$$G^{-}(\lambda)\hat{w}^{-,*}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)=\frac{1}{\hat{w}^{-}(\hbar,s-\hbar;x,y;\lambda)}.\tag{3.13}$$

Substituting (3.13) and (3.9) into (3.11), we have

$$\frac{\hat{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_1)}{G^{-}(\lambda_2)\hat{w}^{+}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\lambda_1)}=\frac{\hat{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_2)}{G^{+}(\lambda_1)\hat{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_2)},$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}&\log\hat{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_1)-G^{-}(\lambda_2)\log\hat{w}^{+}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\lambda_1)\\=&\log\hat{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_2)-G^{+}(\lambda_1)\log\hat{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda_2).\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

Case III. $s'=s+2\hbar$, $x'=x$, $y'=y-\hbar[\nu_1]-\hbar[\nu_2]$.

In this case, the bilinear identity (3.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}0=\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda}\Bigg(&\hat{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda^{-1})\hat{w}^{-,*}(\hbar,s+2\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\nu_1]-\hbar[\nu_2];\lambda^{-1})\\&\times\frac{1}{(1-\lambda\nu_1)(1-\lambda\nu_2)}\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Using the formulas in (3.4), this gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \nu_1)G^-(\nu_1)G^-(\nu_2)\hat{w}^{-,*}(\hbar, s+2\hbar; x, y; \nu_1)\\ =&\hat{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \nu_2)G^-(\nu_1)G^-(\nu_2)\hat{w}^{-,*}(\hbar, s+2\hbar; x, y; \nu_2).\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.13), this gives

$$\frac{\hat{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda_1)}{G^-(\lambda_2)\hat{w}^-(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y; \lambda_1)} = \frac{\hat{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda_2)}{G^-(\lambda_1)\hat{w}^-(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y; \lambda_2)},$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}&\log \hat{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda_1) - G^-(\lambda_2)\hat{w}^-(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y; \lambda_1)\\ =&\log \hat{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda_2) - G^-(\lambda_1)\log \hat{w}^-(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y; \lambda_2).\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Now, using the definition (3.5) of ω^+ and ω^-, (3.10), (3.14) and (3.15) give us respectively

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega^+(\hbar, s; x, y) - G^+(\lambda)\omega^+(\hbar, s; x, y) = -d^+\log \hat{w}^+(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda),\\ &\omega^-(\hbar, s; x, y) - G^+(\lambda)\omega^-(\hbar, s; x, y) = -d^-\log \hat{w}^+(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda),\\ &\omega^+(\hbar, s; x, y) - G^-(\lambda)\omega^+(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y) = -d^+\log \hat{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda),\\ &\omega^-(\hbar, s; x, y) - G^-(\lambda)\omega^-(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y) = -d^-\log \hat{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda).\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

The first two equations and the last two equations give respectively

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega(\hbar, s; x, y) - G^+(\lambda)\omega(\hbar, s; x, y) = -d\log \hat{w}^+(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda),\\ &\omega(\hbar, s; x, y) - G^-(\lambda)\omega(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y) = -d\log \hat{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda).\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Setting $\lambda = 0$ in the second equation, we have

$$\omega(\hbar, s; x, y) - \omega(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y) = -d\log w_0^-(\hbar, s; x, y). \tag{3.18}$$

Applying d again to both sides of the equations in (3.17) and equation (3.18) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}d\omega(\hbar, s; x, y) =&G^+(\lambda)d\omega(\hbar, s; x, y),\\ d\omega(\hbar, s; x, y) =&G^-(\lambda)d\omega(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y),\\ d\omega(\hbar, s; x, y) =&d\omega(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y).\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

This implies that

$$d\omega(\hbar, s; x, y) = \sum_{n\neq 0}\sum_{m\neq 0} a_{mn}(\hbar) dt_m \wedge dt_n, \tag{3.20}$$

where $t_n = x_n$ if $n > 0$ and $t_n = y_n$ if $n < 0$; $a_{mn}(\hbar)$ are independent of x , y and s and $a_{nm} = -a_{mn}$. Therefore,

$$\omega(\hbar, s; x, y) = \sum_{n\neq 0}\left(\sum_{m\neq 0} a_{mn}(\hbar)t_m\right) dt_n + dH(\hbar, s; x, y) \tag{3.21}$$

for some function $H(\hbar, s; x, y)$. Substituting back into the equations in (3.17) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\log \hat{w}^+(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =& G^+(\lambda)dH(\hbar, s; x, y) - dH(\hbar, s; x, y)\\ &-\sum_{n\neq 0}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}(\hbar)\frac{\lambda^{-m}}{m}\right) dt_n,\\ d\log \hat{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =& G^-(\lambda)dH(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y) - dH(\hbar, s; x, y)\\ &-\sum_{n\neq 0}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{-m,n}(\hbar; s)\frac{\lambda^{m}}{m}\right) dt_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for some functions $A(\hbar, s; \lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(\hbar, s)\lambda^{-n}$ and $B(\hbar, s; \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(\hbar, s)\lambda^{n}$ independent of x, y, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \hat{w}^+(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =& G^+(\lambda)H(\hbar, s; x, y) - H(\hbar, s; x, y)\\ &-\sum_{n\neq 0}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}(\hbar)\frac{\lambda^{-m}}{m}\right) t_n + A(\hbar, s; \lambda),\\ \log \hat{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =& G^-(\lambda)H(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y) - H(\hbar, s; x, y)\\ &-\sum_{n\neq 0}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{-m,n}(\hbar; s)\frac{\lambda^{m}}{m}\right) t_n + B(\hbar, s; \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Substituting these back into equations (3.10), (3.14) and (3.15), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}(\hbar)\frac{\lambda_1^{-m}}{m}\right)\frac{\lambda_2^{-n}}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}(\hbar)\frac{\lambda_2^{-m}}{m}\right)\frac{\lambda_1^{-n}}{n},\\ &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,-n}(\hbar)\frac{\lambda_1^{-m}}{m}\right)\frac{\lambda_2^{n}}{n} + A(\hbar, s; \lambda_1) - A(\hbar, s+\hbar; \lambda_1)\\ =&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{-m,n}(\hbar)\frac{\lambda_2^{m}}{m}\right)\frac{\lambda_1^{-n}}{n},\\ &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{-m,-n}(\hbar)\frac{\lambda_1^{m}}{m}\right)\frac{\lambda_2^{n}}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{-m,-n}(\hbar)\frac{\lambda_2^{m}}{m}\right)\frac{\lambda_1^{n}}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients on both sides, we find that $a_{mn}(\hbar) = a_{nm}(\hbar)$ for all $n \neq 0, m \neq 0$. But since by definition $a_{nm}(\hbar) = -a_{mn}(\hbar)$, we conclude that $a_{mn}(\hbar) = 0$ for all $m \neq 0, n \neq 0$. Hence from (3.20), we have $d\omega(\hbar, s; x, y) =$

0. Together with equation (3.18), we conclude that there exists a function $\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)$ such that

(3.23)
$$\omega(\hbar,s;x,y)=d\log\tau(\hbar,s;x,y),\qquad \frac{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y)}{\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)}=w_0^-(\hbar,s;x,y).$$

We call $\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)$ the tau function of Toda lattice hierarchy. Compare with (3.21), we can take $H=\log\tau$. Then (3.22) gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\log\hat{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)=&G^+(\lambda)\log\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)-\log\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)+A(\hbar,s;\lambda),\\ \log\hat{w}^-(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)=&G^-(\lambda)\log\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y)-\log\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)+B(\hbar,s;\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into the definition (3.5) of ω and using (3.23), we conclude that $A(\hbar,s;\lambda)=B(\hbar,s;\lambda)=0$. This gives the first and third equations in (3.1). Equations (3.9) and (3.13) then give the second and fourth equations in (3.1).

4. Fay-like Identities

In this section, we derive the Fay-like identities for Toda lattice hierarchy from the bilinear identity.

Substituting the equations (3.1) into the bilinear identity (3.6), we obtain the bilinear identity of Toda lattice hierarchy in terms of the tau function:

(4.1)
$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Res}_\lambda\left(\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s';x'+\hbar[\lambda^{-1}],y')\lambda^{(s-s')/\hbar}e^{(\xi(x,\lambda)-\xi(x',\lambda))/\hbar}\right)\\ =&\mathrm{Res}_\lambda\Big(\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}])\tau(\hbar,s'-\hbar;x',y'+\hbar[\lambda^{-1}])\\ &\qquad\qquad\times\lambda^{-2+((s'-s)/\hbar)}e^{(\xi(y,\lambda)-\xi(y',\lambda))/\hbar}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

We consider the following cases.

Case I. $s'=s+\hbar$, $x'=x-\hbar[\mu_1^{-1}]-\hbar[\mu_2^{-1}]$, $y'=y$.

In this case, the bilinear identity (4.1) and formulas in (3.4) give us

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mu_1^{-1}}{\mu_1^{-1}-\mu_2^{-1}}\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu_1^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu_2^{-1}],y)\\ &-\frac{\mu_2^{-1}}{\mu_1^{-1}-\mu_2^{-1}}\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu_2^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu_1^{-1}],y)\\ =&\ \tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y)\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu_1^{-1}]-\hbar[\mu_2^{-1}],y).\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

Case II. $s'=s+\hbar$, $x'=x$, $y'=y-\hbar[\nu_1]-\hbar[\nu_2]$.

In this case, the bilinear identity (4.1) and formulas in (3.4) give us

$$\begin{aligned}(4.3)\qquad &\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\nu_1]-\hbar[\nu_2])\\ =\ &\frac{\nu_1}{\nu_1-\nu_2}\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\nu_1])\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu_2])\\ -&\frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1-\nu_2}\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\nu_2])\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu_1]).\end{aligned}$$

Case III. $s'=s$, $x'=x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}]$, $y'=y-\hbar[\nu]$.

In this case, the bilinear identity (4.1) and formulas in (3.4) give us

(4.4)

$$\begin{aligned}&\mu\Big(\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu])-\tau(\hbar;s;x,y)\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y-\hbar[\nu])\Big)\\ =&\nu\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\nu])\tau(\hbar,s-\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y).\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain

(4.5)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(A)}\qquad &\mu_1-\mu_2\frac{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu_1^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu_2^{-1}],y)}{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu_2^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu_1^{-1}],y)}\\ =&(\mu_1-\mu_2)\frac{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y)\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu_1^{-1}]-\hbar[\mu_2^{-1}],y)}{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu_2^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu_1^{-1}],y)},\\ \text{(B)}\qquad &\nu_1-\nu_2\frac{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\nu_2])\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu_1])}{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\nu_1])\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu_2])}\\ =&(\nu_1-\nu_2)\frac{\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\nu_1]-\hbar[\nu_2])}{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\nu_1])\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu_2])},\\ \text{(C)}\qquad &\frac{\tau(\hbar;s;x,y)\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y-\hbar[\nu])}{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu])}\\ =&1-\frac{\nu}{\mu}\frac{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\nu])\tau(\hbar,s-\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)}{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu])}.\end{aligned}$$

We are going to prove in next section that these three identities alone are enough to imply that $\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)$ is a tau function of the Toda lattice hierarchy. We are also going to prove in Section 6 that the dispersionless limit of these identities are precisely the dispersionless Hirota equations of dispersionless Toda (dToda) hierarchy [7, 15, 6, 16, 1, 11]. These should be compared to the work of Takasaki and Takebe in the Appendix of [10], where they showed that the differential Fay identity of KP hierarchy is equivalent to the KP hierarchy, and the dispersionless limit of the differential Fay identity is the dispersionless Hirota equation of KP hierarchy [10, 4, 2]. Therefore we call the identities (A)–(C) in (4.5) the Fay-like identities of Toda lattice hierarchy.

Remark 4.1. In [16], Zabrodin has written down an equivalent form of the identities (A) and (B) for a sector of the Toda lattice hierarchy where the variables $x_n, y_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are complex variables satisfying $y_n = -\bar{x}_n$.

5. Equivalence of Fay-like identities to the Toda Lattice hierarchy

In this section, we are going to show that the Fay-like identities (4.5) are equivalent to the Toda lattice hierarchy. More precisely, we have

Proposition 5.1. *If $\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)$ is a function that satisfies the Fay-like identities* (4.5)*, then $\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)$ is a tau function of the Toda lattice hierarchy.*

Proof. Given the function $\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)$ that satisfies the Fay-like identities (4.5), we define the functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w}^+(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =& \frac{\tau(\hbar, s; x - \hbar[\lambda^{-1}], y)}{\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)} e^{\xi(x,\lambda)}\\
=& \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n^+(\hbar, s; x, y)\lambda^{-n}\right) e^{\xi(x,\lambda)},\\
\tilde{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =& \frac{\tau(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y - \hbar[\lambda])}{\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)} e^{\xi(y,\lambda^{-1})}\\
=& \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n^-(\hbar, s; x, y)\lambda^{n}\right) e^{\xi(y,\lambda^{-1})},
\end{aligned}$$

and define the operators $W^{\pm}(\hbar, s; x, y)$ by (2.4), with $w_n^{\pm}(\hbar, s; x, y)$ defined by the formulas above. We are going to show that $W^{\pm}$ satisfy the system of equations (2.5). Then by Proposition 2.1, $W^{\pm}$ are the dressing operators of Toda lattice hierarchy. This implies that $\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)$ is a tau function of the Toda lattice hierarchy.

We define the functions $\mathsf{w}^{\pm}, v^{\pm}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(5.1)}\quad \mathsf{w}^+(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =& \frac{\tilde{w}^+(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda)}{w_0^-(\hbar, s; x, y)} = \frac{\tau(\hbar, s; x - \hbar[\lambda^{-1}], y)}{\tau(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y)} e^{\xi(x,\lambda)},\\
\mathsf{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =& \frac{\tilde{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda)}{w_0^-(\hbar, s; x, y)} = \frac{\tau(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y - \hbar[\lambda])}{\tau(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y)} e^{\xi(y,\lambda^{-1})},\\
v^+(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =& \lambda^{-1}\frac{\mathsf{w}^+(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda)}{\mathsf{w}^+(\hbar, s+\hbar; x, y; \lambda)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n^+(\hbar, s; x, y)\lambda^{-n},\\
v^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda) =& \lambda\frac{\tilde{w}^-(\hbar, s; x, y; \lambda)}{\tilde{w}^-(\hbar, s-\hbar; x, y; \lambda)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n^-(\hbar, s; x, y)\lambda^{n},
\end{aligned}$$

and the operators $X[\mu]$, $Y[\nu]$ by

$$X[\mu] = 1 - G^+(\mu), \qquad Y[\nu] = 1 - G^-(\nu),$$

where the operators $G^{\pm}$ are defined by (3.3). It is easy to verify that

$$\hbar\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^{-n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{X[\mu]^n}{n},\qquad \hbar\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\nu^{n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{Y[\nu]^n}{n}. \tag{5.2}$$

Applying $X[\mu]$ to $\mathsf{w}^{\pm}$ and $Y[\nu]$ to $\tilde{w}^{\pm}$, we obtain respectively the following results:

I.

$$\begin{aligned}
&X[\mu]\mathsf{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\\
=&\frac{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}],y)}{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y)}e^{\xi(x,\lambda)}-\frac{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}]-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)}{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)}e^{\xi(x,\lambda)}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)\\
=&\mathsf{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\left(1-\frac{\mu-\lambda}{\mu}\frac{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y)\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}]-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)}{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (A) of (4.5), this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\mathsf{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\frac{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)}{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)}\\
=&\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\mathsf{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\frac{\mathsf{w}^{+}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\lambda)\mathsf{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)}{\mathsf{w}^{+}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\mu)\mathsf{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)}\\
=&v^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)\lambda\mathsf{w}^{+}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

II.

$$\begin{aligned}
&X[\mu]\mathbf{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\\
=&\frac{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\lambda])}{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y)}e^{\xi(y,\lambda^{-1})}-\frac{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y-\hbar[\lambda])}{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)}e^{\xi(y,\lambda^{-1})}\\
=&\mathbf{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\left(1-\frac{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y)\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y-\hbar[\lambda])}{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\lambda])\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (C) of (4.5), this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\mathbf{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\frac{\tau(\hbar,s+2\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\lambda])\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)}{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\lambda])\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x-\hbar[\mu^{-1}],y)}\\
=&\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\mathbf{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\frac{\mathsf{w}^{-}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\lambda)\mathsf{w}^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)}{\mathsf{w}^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\mathsf{w}^{+}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\mu)}\\
=&v^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)\lambda\mathbf{w}^{-}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

III.

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y[\nu]\tilde{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\\
=&\frac{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}],y)}{\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)}e^{\xi(x,\lambda)}-\frac{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}],y-\hbar[\nu])}{\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu])}e^{\xi(x,\lambda)}\\
=&\tilde{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\left(1-\frac{\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}],y-\hbar[\nu])}{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu])}\right)\\
=&\frac{\nu}{\lambda}\tilde{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\frac{\tau(\hbar,s-\hbar;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\nu])}{\tau(\hbar,s;x-\hbar[\lambda^{-1}],y)\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu])}\\
=&\frac{\nu}{\lambda}\tilde{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\frac{\tilde{w}^+(\hbar,s-\hbar;x,y;\lambda)\tilde{w}^-(\hbar,s;x,y;\nu)}{\tilde{w}^+(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\tilde{w}^-(\hbar,s-\hbar;x,y;\nu)}\\
=&v^-(\hbar,s;x,y;\nu)\lambda^{-1}\tilde{w}^+(\hbar,s-\hbar;x,y;\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

IV.

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y[\nu]\tilde{w}^-(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\\
=&\frac{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\lambda])}{\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)}e^{\xi(y,\lambda^{-1})}\\
&-\frac{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\lambda]-\hbar[\nu])}{\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu])}e^{\xi(y,\lambda^{-1})}\left(1-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right)\\
=&\tilde{w}^-(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\left(1-\frac{\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\lambda]-\hbar[\nu])}{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\lambda])\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu])}\frac{\lambda-\nu}{\lambda}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (B) of (4.5), this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\nu}{\lambda}\tilde{w}^-(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\frac{\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\lambda])\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\nu])}{\tau(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y-\hbar[\lambda])\tau(\hbar,s;x,y-\hbar[\nu])}\\
=&\frac{\nu}{\lambda}\tilde{w}^-(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\frac{\tilde{w}^-(\hbar,s-\hbar;x,y;\lambda)\tilde{w}^-(\hbar,s;x,y;\nu)}{\tilde{w}^-(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\tilde{w}^-(\hbar,s-\hbar;x,y;\nu)}\\
=&v^-(\hbar,s;x,y;\nu)\lambda^{-1}\tilde{w}^-(\hbar,s-\hbar;x,y;\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying $X[\mu]$ again to **I** and **II** we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&X[\mu]^2\mathsf{w}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\\
=&\Big(X[\mu]v^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)\Big)(\lambda e^{\hbar\partial_s})\mathsf{w}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\\
&+\Big(G(\mu)v^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)\Big)(\lambda e^{\hbar\partial_s})\Big(X[\mu]\mathsf{w}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\Big)\\
=&\Bigg(\Big(X[\mu]v^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)\Big)(\lambda e^{\hbar\partial_s})\\
&\quad+\Big(G(\mu)v^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)\Big)v^{+}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\mu)(\lambda e^{\hbar\partial_s})^2\Bigg)\mathsf{w}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)\\
=&\Big(q_{2,1}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)(\lambda e^{\hbar\partial_s})+q_{2,2}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)(\lambda e^{\hbar\partial_s})^2\Big)\,\mathsf{w}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Since as $\mu\to\infty$, $v^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)=O(\mu^{-1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&q_{2,1}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)=X[\mu]v^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)=O(\mu^{-1}),\\
&q_{2,2}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)=\Big(G(\mu)v^{+}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)\Big)v^{+}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y;\mu)=O(\mu^{-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, we find that

$$X[\mu]^n\mathsf{w}^{\pm}(\hbar;s;x,y;\lambda)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}q_{n,k}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)(\lambda e^{\hbar\partial_s})^k\mathsf{w}^{\pm}(\hbar;s;x,y;\lambda),$$

where $q_{n,k}(\hbar,s;x,y;\mu)$ is a power series in μ^{-1}, containing μ^j with $j\leq -k$. Similarly, from **III** and **IV**, we have

$$Y[\nu]^n\tilde{w}^{\pm}(\hbar;s;x,y;\lambda)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}r_{n,k}(\hbar,s;x,y;\nu)(\lambda^{-1}e^{-\hbar\partial_s})^k\tilde{w}^{\pm}(\hbar;s;x,y;\lambda),$$

where $r_{n,k}(\hbar,s;x,y;\nu)$ is a power series in ν, containing ν^j with $j\geq k$. Consequently, we obtain from (5.2) that

(5.3)
$$\begin{aligned}
\hbar\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^{-n}}{n}\frac{\partial\mathsf{w}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)}{\partial x_n}&=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^{-n}}{n}Q_n(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda e^{\hbar\partial s})\mathsf{w}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda),\\
\hbar\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\nu^{n}}{n}\frac{\partial\tilde{w}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda)}{\partial y_n}&=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\nu^{n}}{n}R_n(\hbar,s;x,y;(\lambda e^{\hbar\partial s})^{-1})\tilde{w}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y;\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q_n(\hbar,s;x,y;\Lambda)$ and $R_n(\hbar,s;x,y;\Lambda)$ are order n–polynomials in Λ without constant term. Define

$$\tilde{W}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y)=w_0^{-}(\hbar,s;x,y)^{-1}W^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y).$$

Using the definition (2.4) of $W^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y)$, and the fact that for any function $\mathcal{A}(\hbar,s;x,y)$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(e^{\hbar\partial_s}\left(\mathcal{A}(\hbar,s;x,y)e^{n\hbar\partial_s}\right)\right)\Big|_{e^{\hbar\partial_s}=\lambda} &= \left(\mathcal{A}(\hbar,s+\hbar;x,y)e^{(n+1)\hbar\partial_s}\right)\Big|_{e^{\hbar\partial_s}=\lambda}\\ &=(\lambda e^{\hbar\partial_s})\left(\mathcal{A}(\hbar,s;x,y)\lambda^n\right),\end{aligned}$$

we obtain by comparing coefficients in (5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}\hbar\frac{\partial\tilde{W}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y)}{\partial x_n} =& Q_n(\hbar,s;x,y;e^{\hbar\partial_s})\tilde{W}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y)\\ \hbar\frac{\partial W^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y)}{\partial y_n} =& R_n(\hbar,s;x,y;e^{\hbar\partial_s})\tilde{W}^{\pm}(\hbar,s;x,y).\end{aligned}$$

These give

$$\begin{aligned}\hbar\frac{\partial\tilde{W}^{+}}{\partial x_n}\cdot(\tilde{W}^{+})^{-1} &= \hbar\frac{\partial\tilde{W}^{-}}{\partial x_n}\cdot(\tilde{W}^{-})^{-1},\\ \hbar\frac{\partial W^{+}}{\partial y_n}\cdot(W^{+})^{-1} &= \hbar\frac{\partial W^{-}}{\partial y_n}\cdot(W^{-})^{-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

Finally, we have from the first equation,

$$\begin{aligned}\hbar\frac{\partial W^{+}}{\partial x_n}\cdot(W^{+})^{-1} =& \hbar\frac{\partial w_0^{-}}{\partial x_n}(w_0^{-})^{-1} + w_0^{-}\frac{\partial\tilde{W}^{+}}{\partial x_n}\cdot(\tilde{W}^{+})^{-1}(w_0^{-})^{-1}\\ =& \hbar\frac{\partial w_0^{-}}{\partial x_n}(w_0^{-})^{-1} + w_0^{-}\frac{\partial\tilde{W}^{-}}{\partial x_n}\cdot(\tilde{W}^{-})^{-1}(w_0^{-})^{-1}\\ =& \hbar\frac{\partial W^{-}}{\partial x_n}\cdot(W^{-})^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Together with the second equation of (5.4) give (2.5). This concludes the proof. ☐

6. Dispersionless limit of Fay-like identities

In this section, we show that the dispersionless limit ($\hbar\to 0$) of the Fay-like identities (4.5) are the dispersionless Hirota equations of dToda hierarchy. First we review some facts about dToda hierarchy.

6.1. **Dispersionless Toda Hierarchy.** By taking the dispersionless limit ($\hbar\to 0$) of the Toda lattice hierarchy (2.1), we obtain the Lax representation of the dToda hierarchy [9, 10]:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial x_n} &= \{\mathcal{B}_n,\mathcal{L}\}, &\qquad \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial y_n} &= \{\mathcal{C}_n,\mathcal{L}\},\\ \frac{\partial\mathcal{K}}{\partial x_n} &= \{\mathcal{B}_n,\mathcal{K}\}, &\qquad \frac{\partial\mathcal{K}}{\partial y_n} &= \{\mathcal{C}_n,\mathcal{K}\}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

Here $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(p; s; x, y)$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(p; s; x; y)$ are formal power series in the variable p, such that $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(p; s; x, y) =& p + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{+}_{n+1,0}(s; x, y)p^{-n},\\ \mathcal{K}^{-1} = \mathcal{K}(p; s; x, y)^{-1} =& u^{-}_{0,0}(s; x, y)p^{-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{-}_{n+1,0}(s; x, y)p^{n},\end{aligned}$$

obtained by replacing the operator $e^{\hbar\partial_s}$ by p and taking the limit $\hbar \to 0$ in the definition of L and K in (2.2). $\mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{C}_n$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_n = (\mathcal{L}^n)_{\geq 0}, \qquad \mathcal{C}_n = (\mathcal{K}^{-n})_{<0},$$

where now for a power series $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_n p^n$ and a subset S of $\mathbb{Z}$, we define $\mathcal{A}_S = \sum_{n\in S} \mathcal{A}_n p^n$. $\{\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\}$ is the Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\} = p\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\frac{\partial g}{\partial s} - p\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}$$

of dToda hierarchy. The function $\mathcal{F}(s; x, y)$ defined by (3.2) as the leading term in the expansion of $\log \tau(\hbar, s; x, y)$ with respect to $\hbar$, is called the free energy of the dToda hierarchy. The tau function of dToda hierarchy τ_{dToda} is then defined as $\tau_{\text{dToda}} = \exp \mathcal{F}$. It satisfies the dispersionless Hirota equation of dToda hierarchy, which is the following set of equations [7, 15, 6, 16, 1, 11]:

(6.2)

$$\begin{aligned}&z_1 \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau_{\text{dToda}}}{\partial s\partial x_n} z_1^{-n}\right) - z_2 \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau_{\text{dToda}}}{\partial s\partial x_n} z_2^{-n}\right)\\ =&(z_1 - z_2)\exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{mn}\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau_{\text{dToda}}}{\partial x_m\partial x_n} z_1^{-m} z_2^{-n}\right),\end{aligned}$$

(6.3)

$$\begin{aligned}&z_1^{-1} \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau_{\text{dToda}}}{\partial s\partial y_n} z_1^{n}\right) - z_2^{-1} \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau_{\text{dToda}}}{\partial s\partial y_n} z_2^{n}\right)\\ =&(z_1^{-1} - z_2^{-2})\exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{mn}\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau_{\text{dToda}}}{\partial y_m\partial y_n} z_1^{m} z_2^{n}\right),\end{aligned}$$

(6.4)

$$\begin{aligned}&1 - z_1 z_2^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial s^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau_{\text{dToda}}}{\partial s\partial y_n} z_1^{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau_{\text{dToda}}}{\partial s\partial x_n} z_2^{-n}\right)\\ =&\exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{mn}\frac{\partial^2 \log\tau_{\text{dToda}}}{\partial y_m\partial x_n} z_1^{m} z_2^{-n}\right).\end{aligned}$$

It was shown in [1, 4, 2, 11] that this set of equations is equivalent to the dToda hierarchy.

6.2. **Dispersionless limit of Fay-like identities.** Making use of the behavior of $\tau(\hbar, s; x, y)$ as $\hbar \to 0$ given by (3.2), it is easy to show that

Proposition 6.1. *The dispersionless limits of the Fay-like identities (A)–(C) in* (4.5) *are the equations* (6.2)–(6.4) *respectively.*

Proof. Using the operator $G^{\pm}$ defined by (3.3), and by a suitable renaming of the variables, we rewrite (A)–(C) in (4.5) as

$$\text{(A}'\text{)}\qquad \begin{aligned} &z_1 - z_2 \exp\left(\left(e^{\hbar\partial_s}-1\right)\left(G^+(z_2)-G^+(z_1)\right)\log\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)\right)\\ =&(z_1-z_2)\exp\left(\left(e^{\hbar\partial_s}-G^+(z_2)\right)\left(1-G^+(z_1)\right)\log\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(B}'\text{)}\qquad \begin{aligned} &z_2^{-1} - z_1^{-1} \exp\left(\left(e^{\hbar\partial_s}-1\right)\left(G^-(z_2)-G^-(z_1)\right)\log\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)\right)\\ =&(z_2^{-1}-z_1^{-1})\exp\left(\left(1-e^{\hbar\partial_s}G^-(z_1)\right)\left(1-G^-(z_2)\right)\log\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(C}'\text{)}\qquad \begin{aligned} &\exp\left(\left(1-G^+(z_2)\right)\left(1-G^-(z_1)\right)\log\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)\right)\\ =&1-z_1z_2^{-1}\exp\left(\left(e^{\hbar\partial_s}-1\right)\left(G^-(z_1)-e^{-\hbar\partial_s}G^+(z_2)\right)\log\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since as $\hbar \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} G^+(\mu) =&\exp\left(-\hbar\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^{-n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) = 1-\hbar\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^{-n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+O(\hbar^2),\\ G^-(\nu) =&\exp\left(-\hbar\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\nu^{n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) = 1-\hbar\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\nu^{n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}+O(\hbar^2),\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(e^{\hbar\partial_s}-1\right)\left(G^+(z_2)-G^+(z_1)\right)\\ =&\hbar^2\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{z_1^{-n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{z_2^{-n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)+O(\hbar^3),\\ &\left(e^{\hbar\partial_s}-G^+(z_2)\right)\left(1-G^+(z_1)\right)\\ =&\hbar^2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{z_2^{-n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{z_1^{-n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+O(\hbar^3),\end{aligned}$$

$$\left(e^{\hbar\partial_s}-1\right)\left(G^-(z_2)-G^-(z_1)\right)=\hbar^2\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{z_1^n}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}-\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{z_2^n}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)+O(\hbar^3),$$

$$\left(1-e^{\hbar\partial_s}G^-(z_1)\right)\left(1-G^-(z_2)\right)=\hbar^2\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{z_1^n}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}-\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{z_2^n}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}+O(\hbar^3),$$

$$\left(1-G^+(z_2)\right)\left(1-G^-(z_1)\right)=\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{z_2^{-n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{z_1^n}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}+O(\hbar^3),$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(e^{\hbar\partial_s}-1\right)\left(G^-(z_1)-e^{-\hbar\partial_s}G^+(z_2)\right)\\=&\hbar^2\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}-\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{z_1^n}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}+\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{z_2^{-n}}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)+O(\hbar^3).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the behavior of $\tau(\hbar,s;x,y)$ given by (3.2), we find that as $\hbar\to 0$, (A′)—(C′) give

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(A}''\text{)}\qquad & z_1-z_2\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial s\partial x_n}z_1^{-n}-\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial s\partial x_n}z_2^{-n}\right)\\ &=\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial s\partial x_n}z_1^{-n}+\sum_{m=1}^\infty\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{mn}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial x_m\partial x_n}z_1^{-m}z_2^{-n}\right),\\ \text{(B}''\text{)}\qquad & z_2^{-1}-z_1^{-1}\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial s\partial y_n}z_1^{n}-\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial s\partial y_n}z_2^{n}\right)\\ &=\exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^\infty\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{mn}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial y_m\partial y_n}z_1^{m}z_2^{n}-\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial s\partial y_n}z_2^{n}\right),\\ \text{(C}''\text{)}\qquad & \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^\infty\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{mn}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial y_m\partial x_n}z_1^{m}z_2^{-n}\right)\\ &=1-z_1z_2^{-1}\exp\left(\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial s^2}-\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial s\partial y_n}z_1^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial s\partial x_n}z_2^{-n}\right).\end{aligned}$$

After simple manipulations, it it easy to see that this set of equations give the equations (6.2), (6.3) and (6.4) respectively. □

Acknowledgments. I am grateful for the hospitality of Academia Sinica of Taiwan during my visit, when part of this work was done. Special thanks go to Derchyi Wu who had arranged the visit. I would also like to thank J.S. Chang, Y.T. Chen, N.C. Lee, J.C. Shaw, M.H. Tu and D.C. Wu for the discussions we had during my visit. This work is partially supported by MMU Internal Funding PR/2006/0590.

References

[1] A. Boyarsky, A. Marshakov, O. Ruchayskiy, P. Wiegmann, and A. Zabrodin, *Associativity equations in dispersionless integrable hierarchies*, Phys. Lett. B **515** (2001), no. 3-4, 483–492.

[2] R. Carroll and Y. Kodama, *Solution of the dispersionless Hirota equations*, J. Phys. A **28** (1995), no. 22, 6373–6387.

[3] Etsurō Date, Masaki Kashiwara, Michio Jimbo, and Tetsuji Miwa, *Transformation groups for soliton equations*, Nonlinear integrable systems—classical theory and quantum theory (Kyoto, 1981), World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1983, pp. 39–119.

[4] John Gibbons and Yuji Kodama, *Solving dispersionless Lax equations*, Singular limits of dispersive waves (Lyon, 1991), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., vol. 320, Plenum, New York, 1994, pp. 61–66.

[5] I. K. Kostov, I. M. Krichever, M. Mineev-Weinstein, P. B. Wiegmann, and A. Zabrodin, *The τ-function for analytic curves*, Random matrix models and their applications, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 40, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001, pp. 285–299.

[6] A. Marshakov, P. Wiegmann, and A. Zabrodin, *Integrable structure of the Dirichlet boundary problem in two dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **227** (2002), no. 1, 131–153.

[7] M. Mineev-Weinstein, P.B. Wiegmann, and A. Zabrodin, *Integrable sructure of interface dynamics*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **84** (2000), 5106–5109.

[8] K. Takasaki, *Dispersionless Toda hierarchy and two-dimensional string theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **170** (1995), no. 1, 101–116.

[9] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, *Quasi-classical limit of Toda hierarchy and W-infinity symmetries*, Lett. Math. Phys. **28** (1993), no. 3, 165–176.

[10] Kanehisa Takasaki and Takashi Takebe, *Integrable hierarchies and dispersionless limit*, Rev. Math. Phys. **7** (1995), no. 5, 743–808.

[11] Lee-Peng Teo, *Analytic functions and integrable hierarchies—characterization of tau functions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **64** (2003), no. 1, 75–92.

[12] Morikazu Toda, *Studies of a non-linear lattice*, Phys. Rep. **18C** (1975), no. 1, 1–123.

[13] Kimio Ueno and Kanehisa Takasaki, *Toda lattice hierarchy*, Group representations and systems of differential equations (Tokyo, 1982), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 4, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 1–95.

[14] P. Wiegmann and A. Zabrodin, *Large scale correlations in normal non-Hermitian matrix ensembles*, J. Phys. A **36** (2003), no. 12, 3411–3424.

[15] P. B. Wiegmann and A. Zabrodin, *Conformal maps and integrable hierarchies*, Comm. Math. Phys. **213** (2000), no. 3, 523–538.

[16] A. V. Zabrodin, *The dispersionless limit of the Hirota equations in some problems of complex analysis*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **129** (2001), no. 2, 239–257.

Faculty of Information Technology, Multimedia University, Jalan Multimedia, Cyberjaya, 63100, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

E-mail address: `lpteo@mmu.edu.my`